



Toxic Masculinity and Tyranny: Violence, Vulnerability, and Political Power in Shakespeare's Macbeth

Dr. Md. Nashir Uddin¹

Research Fellow, Nexora Research Foundation of Bangladesh
Email: dr.nashir.dhaka@gmail.com

Dr. Mohd Rafi²

Assistant Professor, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, India
Email: mohdraficuh@gmail.com

Mili Rahman³

Associate Professor, Department of English, Dhaka International University
Email: mili.eng@diu.ac

ABSTRACT

Macbeth is a play by William Shakespeare. It is usually classified as a tragedy. The topics covered include ambition, the supernatural, regicide, guilt and political instability. But it is also a wide-ranging study of masculinity and the tragic consequences of a gender ideology that equates masculinity with strength, emotional toughness, domination and violence. This research uses an interdisciplinary methodology drawn from critical masculinity studies and, more specifically, from the concept of hegemonic masculinity to examine Macbeth and claim that masculine discomfort functions as a political tool. It argues that Macbeth's transformation from celebrated soldier to tyrannical ruler is not merely the product of overweening ambition or prophetic fate but that his political violence is a desperate attempt to create and maintain a culturally sanctioned masculinity. This dilemma is compounded by Lady Macbeth who presents it as a challenge to Macbeth's masculinity which can only be answered by force. Shakespeare also provides a simple duality of female fragility and male anger. Lady Macbeth remains trapped within the same boundaries of gendered oppression, but Macduff offers the alternative masculine model of emotional awareness, loyalty to family, moral strength and proper civic participation. The article argues that Macbeth is a sophisticated literary investigation of masculinity, violence, bodily weakness, motherhood, sovereignty, and political legitimacy, and that it reveals the self-destructive nature of toxic masculine power. The tragedy shows how political oppression starts when vulnerability is seen as weakness and aggressiveness is the only way to create a manly identity.

Keywords: Shakespeare; Macbeth; toxic masculinity; hegemonic masculinity; tyranny; violence; vulnerability; gender; political power; masculinity studies

1. Introduction

William Shakespeare's Macbeth holds a special place in the canon of Shakespearean tragedy, combining intimate emotional collapse with public political catastrophe. The story is of Macbeth, a soldier who seems brave, loyal and able to defend his king and nation. But the very stuff of his heroic masculine identity is increasingly (and pervasively) becoming tools of oppression. His lust becomes dictatorship, his courage harshness, his lust for political authority an obsessive determination to eliminate any possible danger to his reign.

It is easy to understand, for Macbeth has traditionally been regarded as a play about ambition, fate, free will, supernatural temptation, remorse, kingship and moral decay. But the model of masculinity provides a particularly fruitful means of re-conceptualising the relationship between Macbeth's political aggressiveness and psychological transformation. Shakespeare

repeatedly wonders what it means to be a man. Macbeth replies to threats with assertions of his ability to commit violence. Lady Macbeth goes a step farther in equating manliness with determination to commit murder. Macduff presents an alternate paradigm of masculinity which includes both courage and emotional attachment with loss and emotional attachment. It is Macbeth's duty to establish his masculinity.

The term "toxic masculinity" needs to be used with care. This is not to say that masculinity is evil, or that men are inherently aggressive. Conversely, current masculinity studies define masculinity as a social construction that is different from specific masculine norms that prioritise aggression, emotional restraint, dominance and hierarchical power. Connell and Messerschmidt's fundamental reformulation of 'hegemonic masculinity' highlights the relational, historically localised and gendered power connections of masculinities (Connell and Messerschmidt, 2005). Their model thus offers a suitable theoretical platform for the examination of Macbeth's attempt to enact an idealised male figure.

The framework is also relevant to Shakespeare in that Bruce R. Smith has studied masculinity in Shakespearean drama extensively and demonstrated that masculine identity in Shakespeare is not something natural or stable but is constantly performed, negotiated and threatened (Smith, 2000). Recent studies has established the clear links between Macbeth and authoritarianism and toxic masculinity. Hall contends that the play's cruelty, particularly in its representation of children and weakness, is related to Macbeth's goal to render himself invulnerable. We use that remark as a starting point in this research and analyse the relationship between political legitimacy and masculinity insecurity.

The basic thesis of this paper is that Macbeth's tyranny is, in part, a result of his inability to recognise vulnerability. He grows increasingly convinced that he has to be emotionally invulnerable, physically domineering, feared and potent to be able to sustain his masculinity. Every threat to his power is at the same time a threat to his manhood. His power is limited, and he is faced with the dreadful knowledge of Banquo, the survival of Fleance, the resistance of Macduff, the legitimacy of Malcolm, and his own guilt. Violence is thus not simply a political weapon, but a way to constantly prove masculinity.

In the end, tragedy reveals the foolishness of this procedure. Macbeth strives to make himself invincible by violence, but the violence makes him more and more vulnerable. The more murders he does. The more enemies he makes. The more he tries to control the future. The more he is psychologically unstable. The more he denies his emotional sensitivity. The more estranged he is.

Shakespeare thus presents tyranny as an extreme form of masculine insecurity: the ruler who must constantly demonstrate his strength is, paradoxically, the ruler who is most afraid of weakness.

2. Masculinity, Hegemony, and Toxic Power

Critical masculinity studies provide the theoretical backdrop for this investigation. Connell's notion of hegemonic masculinity refers to a culturally exalted version of masculinity that legitimises the gender hierarchy and renders specific male acts socially authoritative (Connell, 1995). Hegemonic masculinity does not mean that all men possess the same attributes, and this needs to be made clear. Instead, it is an idealised arrangement of gender behaviour against which men might compare themselves. Connell and Messerschmidt further refined the notion, focusing on the dynamic, relational and historically changing nature of

masculine hierarchies (Connell and Messerschmidt, 2005). Their approach is especially helpful in Shakespearean research because early modern masculinity was also constructed by social expectations for military heroism, domestic authority, political prestige, sexual renown, and physical strength. Smith (2000) also argues that Shakespearean masculinity is fragile. Masculinity has to be enacted again and again in front of others. A man might have status and still worry, am I man enough. Understanding Macbeth hinges on this instability. Macbeth is introduced to a culture of masculine martiality. The Captain says he is a brave fighter who has fought the powers that threaten Duncan's realm. His masculinity seems to be validated at first since it is publicly recognised. He had no need to construct a reputation; other men had done it for him.

Duncan's response to Macbeth's bravery draws attention to the cultural relevance of his status as a warrior. This contradicts Macbeth's current self-image, however, as the witches' prophecy states. He's destined to be the king and will set a new standard for male performance. You can't just be a good fighter, anymore. Macbeth is slowly coming to see political power as the ultimate arbiter of value. This is a significant contrast between the authority of masculinity and aggressive male dominance. The former is based on recognition, service, loyalty, and moral obligation. The latter is built on fear, violence and the annihilation of wills. Macbeth passes from the first estate to the second. The idea of toxic masculinity is essential because it captures a particular trend: masculinity is poisonous when it is built on domination, emotional repression, violence and the rejection of vulnerability. There's no toxicity in masculinity. Instead, this is a destructive male outlook that creates the need for violence as a form of self-affirmation. This is an important distinction, especially since not all male characters are as aggressive as those in Shakespeare. Banquo, Malcolm, Macduff, Duncan and Macbeth are diverse representations of masculinity. In their differences, Shakespeare shows masculinity not as an essence but as a site of contest between competing moral orders.

3. Macbeth and the Crisis of Masculine Identity

The first ego of Macbeth as a fighter lays the groundwork for the subsequent mental chaos. His military achievements are acknowledged for their social value. His courage in military service is praised. But the prophecy brings a new hope of kingship.

The witches don't actually tell Macbeth to kill Duncan. They are merely his prophets of the future. The ultimate transition from prophecy to homicide is Macbeth's perception of power and masculinity.

He begins to think of kingship as a state that demands action.

His meditation, the famous: "If it were done now it would be fine. It was completed quickly displays his attempt to make murder a comprehensible and manageable act. But the wording also indicates his understanding of the moral issues.

Macbeth at first understands the ethical restraints that should bind him. He knows that Duncan is his benefactor, kin, visitor and king. So he concedes that regicide breaches several sorts of moral and social obligation.

It is essential that he hesitate. Macbeth is not in itself devoid of conscience. His tragedy is the consequence of his constant repression of conscience in an effort to adhere to an ideal of masculinity as decisiveness.

The metamorphosis is mostly due to the character of Lady Macbeth.

When he hesitates, she assaults Macbeth's manhood: "You were a man when you had the guts to do it.

The statement takes murder as a measure of manliness. Macbeth is deciding not only if he will kill Duncan, but if he is masculine enough to do so.

Lady Macbeth's linguistic tactic is disastrous in that it turns moral uncertainty into a male vulnerability. Fear, compassion, and moral thought are signs of weakness. Violence is the sign of courage.

Eventually Macbeth replies: "I dare to do everything that may become a man; Who dares to do more is none."

This is a key point in the play, as it is the first to establish an ethical definition of masculinity. Macbeth distinguishes between what a man can accomplish and what a man ought to do. It is not to do all that can be done to become a man. It is to observe the moral limits.

But this distinction is briefly impaired by Lady Macbeth's influence.

The killing of Duncan by Macbeth is the beginning of the disintegration of his former male self. The murder, meant to demonstrate his manhood, ironically leads to his psychological unravelling.

4. Violence as Masculine Performance

Duncan's assassination leads to the increasing normalisation of violence. Lady Macbeth does not have to persuade Macbeth. He starts to manufacture violence himself.

This development is important because it shows the self-replication of toxic masculinity. The violence once considered as proof of manly strength demands new threats and new shows of violence.

The next enemy that Banquo comes up to.

Macbeth is afraid of Banquo not because Banquo has assaulted him personally but because the witches have predicted that Banquo's descendants will take the throne. This causes Macbeth to be anxious about securing his status in the face of an uncertain future.

He says: "To be thus, is nothing, But to be safe thus."

This sentence summarises the mentality of dictatorial masculinity. Macbeth needs to feel invulnerable. Simply having power is not enough.

The word "safely" is very telling. Macbeth's manhood is shaky and this makes his political power weak. He has turned into a monarch. But psychologically he cannot accept himself as a legitimate power. He must, then, root kingship in a stable security.

Then, horrifically, Banquo is killed.

The political dilemma becomes a male one, as Macbeth cannot abide the existence of another man who possesses attributes that threaten his control.

Banquo is an alternate masculine option. Ambitious but morally inhibited, brave but prudent, and subject to the same supernatural temptation but not responding in the same way. Therefore, Macbeth understands Banquo's survival as a tacit challenge to his own personhood.

Macbeth's violence is not only against individual enemies. He commands the death of Banquo and the massacre of Macduff's wife and children. This is an important moment in his moral descent, as the brutality does not seem to be justified by military or political necessity any longer.

It becomes terror for terror's sake.

The way to sustain the tyrant's masculinity now is the relentless elimination of vulnerability. Families, political opponents, women and children – all suddenly become potential threats.

Hall's new work, for instance, highlights the links between toxic masculinity, despotism, poison and violence against children in Macbeth. Macbeth's blood-stained goal of invincibility, he argues, underscores the moral importance of a common human frailty.

5. Lady Macbeth and the Gendered Politics of Violence

Lady Macbeth subverts any easy interpretation of toxic masculinity. She is not only a victim of patriarchy, she is not merely the evil person responsible for Macbeth's crimes. In contrast, she explains how women are both an actor and an object in a patriarchal power framework.

Her prayer.

Come, you ghosts,

You strip my femininity away now, as you slide into human contemplation.

Has usually been seen as a rejection of femininity. Her wish to be "unsexed" highlights the cruelty related to masculinity.

She links femininity with softness, delicacy, and motherhood. So she tries to repress these qualities in herself.

Her most frightening statement about motherhood:

I have breastfed and am acquainted with the delicacy of fostering the infant that drinks sustenance from me.

Then she imagines her own children being slaughtered instead of breaking a promise.

This is an important picture for other reasons than the idea of Lady Macbeth being unnatural. But Shakespeare demonstrates the detrimental effects of ignoring vulnerability in the making of power.

Motherhood is a metaphor of intimacy, of caring, of physiological vulnerability. Lady Macbeth desires to replace these qualities with a determination that will never be shaken.

But she can't keep this pace forever.

She appears more formidable than Macbeth after the murder of Duncan. She doesn't have the ability to handle the situation, ignoring his distress. But the latter sleepwalking scene reveals the psychological toll of her repression.

You can't just turn resentment into masculine potency: her urge to wash her hands (Out, accursed spot!) is evidence of that.

The body remembers what the conscious mind tries to forget.

Thus Lady Macbeth's decline reflects Macbeth's transformation. At first both characters try to dismiss vulnerability. They both understand you can't erase vulnerability.

The difference is the response to vulnerability which is an increasingly external violence. Lady Macbeth turns inside and eventually has a psychiatric breakdown.

6. Macbeth's Fear of Vulnerability

One of the basic principles that allows us to reconsider the catastrophe is vulnerability.

Macbeth always reads weakness as vulnerability. His fear of vulnerability is particularly evident after the prophecy about Banquo.

He is unable to accept uncertainty. He wants complete power. Complete knowledge.

Thus the witches become psychologically tempting to him since they seem to promise reassurance. But their forecasts are purposefully vague.

The witches aren't the only ones fooling Macbeth. His problem is that he so wants a world in which doubt may be eliminated.

The second apparition tells him:
"None of the women born will hurt Macbeth."

Macbeth takes the statement literally, and joyfully. The prophecy makes it seem as if he can't be hurt.

It is this illusion that forms the basis of his authoritarian identity.

Macbeth thinks that if he can't be killed physically, then he is untouchable. But Shakespeare reveals the untruth of this claim. Political exposure is not merely physical. A ruler may be sensitive to: betrayal, moral judgement, grieving, solitude, memory, loss of legitimacy.

In addition, Macbeth destroys the bonds that allow people to depend on each other, which further isolates him.

He kills Duncan, turns Macduff against him, has Banquo killed, wipes out Macduff's family, and becomes distant with Lady Macbeth.

So as his human bonds shrivel his political influence expands.

This is the paradox of toxic masculine dominance — wanting to be completely independent may make you completely alone.

7. Tyranny and the Political Body

Macbeth's manly issue is transformed into a political problem because of his personal uneasiness becoming translated into governmental power.

As soon as he gets the king his personal anxieties are publicised.

The state is turned into an extension of Macbeth's psychological state.

Duncan connects to political legitimacy. Loyalty and service. Under Macbeth political authority is increasingly based on fear, intimidation, assassination and surveillance.

This change is recognised by the Scottish nobles. Macbeth's kingdom is turned into a political climate marked by uneasiness and he is linked to unnatural bloodshed.

It is therefore necessary to distinguish tyranny from royalty.

Others believe that the legitimacy of a lawful ruler is morally justified. A tyrant is a ruler who has authority but no lawful consent, and must therefore rule by coercion.

Macbeth has the crown but no right to wear it.

That's why he has to always show his power.

His method is compensatory violence.

Each time he assassinates, his authority is temporarily stabilised but at the same time its legitimacy is weakened. The murder of Duncan arouses suspicion; the murder of Banquo, dread; the massacre of Macduff's family, anger; and the assault upon Macbeth's own political community, rebellion.

Therefore, violence creates the very insecurity it was intended to eliminate.

The masculinity of Macbeth is psychologically structured and this is reflected in the political framework of the tragedy.

Violence → Insecurity → Temporary Control → More Insecurity → More Violence.

This loop ultimately leads to totalitarianism.

8. Macduff and an Alternative Masculinity

Macduff is one of the most important counterpoints to Macbeth.

Macduff is initially seen as part of the same warrior culture. He is politically committed, courageous and capable of resorting to physical violence. Macduff's masculinity is nevertheless fundamentally different than Macbeth's, in that he does not conflate emotional vulnerability with fragility.

The best example of this is Malcolm's response to Macduff when he tells him that his family has been killed.

Macduff's answer is grief.

First, Malcolm urges him to turn his grief into vengeance and hatred. But Macduff is insistent on grieving his family. His saying—"I will; but I must also bear it like a man.

— plays an essential part in the play's gender politics.

The word "as a man" changes the definition of masculinity that was previously established.

For Lady Macbeth, masculinity means the capacity to commit violence without a second thought.

Macbeth's conception of manhood becomes increasingly based on physical invulnerability.

To Macduff, the ability to feel is an essential part of manhood.

This may be the most significant alternative to toxic masculinity that Shakespeare offers.

Macduff proves that feeling does not mean lack of courage. By contrast, his grief becomes the moral ground of his political activism.

He is not battling Macbeth for the sake of his prestige, but is fighting him because Macbeth has destroyed human connections and governmental order.

Thus, Macduff's masculinity is a combination of strength and fragility.

This combination distinguishes him, in a fundamental way, from Macbeth.

9. Fatherhood, Children, and Masculine Power

The depiction of children in Macbeth further amplifies Shakespeare's critique of aggressive masculinity.

Macbeth becomes increasingly concerned about the succession. Banquo's posterity's forecast provokes a concern with blood line and political succession.

His desire to monopolise succession proves that despotism is not satisfied with the power it already has. It is to govern the future.

Macbeth's brutality toward Macduff's family is therefore of particular importance. He is not merely criticising an opponent; he is also criticising the reproductive and familial structures that serve as the foundation for political ambitions.

The killing of minors is a severe form of political violence, as they have not yet attained the status of political actors. Their vulnerability is a direct consequence of their lack of political influence.

In this manner, the despot demonstrates his vulnerability by attacking the individuals who pose the least threat to him.

This is one of Shakespeare's most compelling illustrations of despotic thinking: the greater the ruler's authority, the less the victim poses a threat to justify aggression.

Hall's examination of the correlation between toxic masculinity, tyranny, and violence against children offers a significant contemporary perspective on the interpretation of this aspect of tragedy.

Another aspect of male concern in Macbeth is also revealed by the fear of Banquo's line: inheritance.

He has achieved sovereignty; however, he is unable to guarantee his own future security. As a result, his political authority is rendered essentially irrelevant.

He enquires:

They placed a barren crown atop my cranium.

Please provide me with a sceptre that is desolate for me to wield.

The figure of the "fruitless" and "barren" is a reproductive metaphor for political anxiety. Macbeth possesses the throne, but there is no hereditary succession.

The term itself implies that political masculinity is concerned with the continuation of the masculine line, paternity, and legacy. He is apprehensive about Banquo's lineage in part because he is concerned that his own male lineage may become extinct.

10. Violence and the Collapse of Political Legitimacy

The tragedy of Macbeth shows that political authority cannot be sustained by bloodshed forever.

At the beginning of the play Macbeth's violence is turned against the enemies of Scotland. It is therefore seen as heroic.

The political society promotes his hostility that is meant to protect the rightful authority.

But after Duncan's death, the violence is different.

Macbeth is arguing for his personal preservation, not for the state.

This badge of achievement signifies the shift from combatant to tyrant.

The same physical ability that makes Macbeth so valuable to Scotland in the first place becomes dangerous when it is cut off from genuine political aims.

Thus, Shakespeare does not only condemn violence in general. The drama contrasts between legitimate ethical self-defence and self-interested political violence.

When the ethical responsibility is separated from warrior identity, Macbeth's masculinity becomes toxic.

This is too the significance of his last meeting with Macduff.

Macbeth still believes himself to be invulnerable:
"And death and bane shall not affright me."

He has been told that no male born of a woman is able to kill him.

His confidence, therefore, is not grounded on real valour, but on a mere faith in his own invincibility.

In Macduff's disclosure –

Macduff was from his mother's womb Untimely rif'd.

undermines the interpretative framework of Macbeth,

The prophecy never made Macbeth invulnerable. It was only playing the game he was.

Thus his death signifies the end of the patriarchal idea of absolute rule.

11. Language, Equivocation, and Masculine Certainty

Language is a fundamental feature of Macbeth's crisis; the witches always make ambiguous utterances and Macbeth reads them according to his desires.

The drama is built on equivocation: things seem to signify one thing, but in the end signify something else.

Illusory appearances, abnormal weather, unclear predictions, and 'stolen garments' all contribute to a world where confidence is impossible.

But Macbeth's need for certainty is increasing.

His manliness is linked to his obsession with certainty. He wants to feel politically secure, to feel invulnerable, to feel powerful and safe.

And this is exactly what the witches take advantage of.

Equivocation has already been identified by critics as a central structuring feature in Macbeth, and has been analysed in relation to gender and unstable identities.

The tragedy of Macbeth is thus defined by the tension between a masculine subject who cannot abide uncertainty and an unpredictable environment.

The ethical option is to acknowledge the presence of uncertainty and vulnerability.

Macbeth, however, chooses domination.

Therefore, the witches do not cause his toxic masculinity. Their offering of the linguistic environment intensifies his pre-existing aspirations.

12. From Warrior to Tyrant: The Transformation of Masculine Power

The metamorphosis of Macbeth is in several stages.

Phase One: The Worship of the Male

Macbeth begins as a socially recognised soldier. His courage is public and directed toward genuine political power.

Phase Two: Terror of the Male

The prediction of the witches puts a new desire for kingship in their minds. Macbeth begins to contrast himself in the present with a future self.

Phase Three: Gendered Coercion

Lady Macbeth turns the issue of killing into an issue of masculinity. Macbeth's lack of manhood is demonstrated by his uncertainty.

Phase Four: Aggressive Roll-out.

Macbeth commits the murder of Duncan and learns that violence can produce rapid political results.

Phase Five: Male Impenetrability

Once on the throne, Macbeth attempts to remove all potential threats. Banquo, Fleance, Macduff and Macduff's family are marked for slaughter.

Phase Six: Tyrannical Seclusion

Macbeth becomes less emotionally involved and less politically credible.

Phase Seven: decline

Macduff annihilates Macbeth's sense of physical invulnerability, and Malcolm and the Scottish lords re-establish legitimate political power.

This development shows that dictatorship is not the fortuitous fruit of aspiration. It is the product of the transformation of masculinity into a regime of control.

13. The Paradox of Strength and Weakness

For all its grandeur, Shakespeare's greatest insight is that Macbeth's fixation with strength shows its own flaws.

He is thought to be powerful since he can lead armies, wear a crown and kill people. Psychologically, however, he becomes more and more dependent on external praise.

He needs the prophecies of the wizards.

He needs political power.

He needs to get rid of his adversaries.

He needs to dread.

He must believe he is invincible.

That reliance reveals the vulnerability beneath his apparent might.

So Macbeth is the absolute embodiment of the paradox of toxic masculinity: the man who cannot accept vulnerability becomes terribly vulnerable to humiliation, uncertainty and status loss.

The alternative is not relational weakness, but relational strength.

Macduff is free to lament, because he does not perceive grief as emasculating.

Malcolm can credibly claim leadership without having to establish his masculinity by unbridled violence.

Banquo can be a prophet and hold on to his moral compass.

These characters illustrate the spectrum of masculinities allowed in Shakespeare's play.

14. Macbeth and Contemporary Masculinity Studies

One need not read Macbeth through the lens of contemporary masculinity studies to understand the play in an early modern context. Rather the framework brings up existing structural problems inside the play. The concept of hegemonic masculinity is especially useful since it helps explain why Macbeth's identity is dependent upon social recognition and hierarchical power. According to Connell and Messerschmidt, hegemonic masculinity is not a fixed type of personality, but rather a fluid pattern that is tied to power and gender hierarchy. This difference allows the study to get beyond the simple claim that 'Macbeth is violent because he is masculine'. In fact a more accurate statement would be that Macbeth's violent behaviour is a consequence of his commitment to a particular notion of masculine authority, one which asserts that dominance, fearlessness, brutality and control are all necessary markers of male worth. This reading is also in keeping with scholarship that claims that Shakespeare's male characters are navigating fluid masculine identities, rather than passively embodying fixed categories of gender. As Smith notes, Shakespeare's masculinity is not a solid identity, but an uneasy and theatrical one. More recently, studies have also offered fresh insight into the function of masculinity in Shakespearean violence. Gilligan and Richards, for example, examine male violence in Shakespeare's plays and relate patriarchal systems with the devaluation of vulnerability. In their analysis Macbeth is one of the tragedies in which they see this relation.

Thus the present reading sets Macbeth within the framework of a larger academic conversation about masculinity, violence, patriarchy and political power, but additionally emphasises the particular nexus between tyranny and masculine insecurity.

15. Discussion: Tyranny as Failed Masculine Self-Construction

The main claim of this research is that Macbeth's tyranny can be understood as a failed attempt of the construction of male selfhood. Macbeth wants to be a certain kind of person:

brave, in control, unassailable, politically powerful and decisive. The disaster shows that this identity is impossible owing to its own inconsistencies.

Macbeth must continue to kill in an effort to prove his power and to make sure that no one finds out how weak he actually is.

He has to keep taking out potential rivals to prove he's safe.

He must react forcefully to projections of the future to establish his command over it.

In order for him to display his emotional invulnerability he must repress interpersonal ties.

The result is dependency, not power.

Macbeth develops an addiction to barbarism.

The political consequences are likewise adverse. He who cannot accept weakness cannot accept opposition. A ruler who sees disagreement as a sign of weakness must suppress the resistance. The sovereign who believes that authority is power must transform leadership into tyranny.

Hence, Macbeth's personal masculinity turns into a kind of political strategy.

His body becomes an object of assault His home becomes a site of gender conflict His authority becomes a site of domination His political power becomes a site of his emotional instability

The disaster consequently becomes a political as well as a personal matter.

Macbeth's personal struggle is a political revolution for Scotland.

16. Conclusion

Shakespeare's Macbeth might be read as a simple rejection of the link between political tyranny, violence and masculine insecurity. Macbeth is not the dictator he later becomes. He starts off as a famed fighter, his manhood validated publicly by martial talent and duty. That identity, when coupled to an even worse idea of power, is where his death begins. His desires are inspired, not compelled, by the prophecy of the spirits. Lady Macbeth makes murder a test of masculinity and this makes him even more uneasy. Macbeth then follows suit and starts to conduct violent acts himself. When he is king, his fear of exposure becomes a systematic program of dictatorship. The murder of Duncan and Banquo, the pursuit of Macduff, and the murder of Macduff's family are examples of the increase of evil violence from the lust of the individual to the horror of the society. But tragedy offers a choice. Macduff's character provides a masculine figure that is both brave and sensitive. His insistence that he has to 'experience it like a man' rejects the notion that masculinity entails the repression of feelings. Grief is not a flaw, but a moral source of significance in his disobedience, as to Macduff. This is a radical breakaway from the political position of Shakespeare. Men are not born strong, ambitious, courageous or aggressive. The problem is when these qualities are divorced from moral responsibility, and when vulnerability is regarded as an intolerable form of weakness. So it's not simply ambition that's Macbeth's worst mistake. He views power as the lack of frailty. His crown is not security. "His hostility can't make it so he can't be murdered. He doesn't know if his predictions will be borne out. But he can't prove his masculinity via constant domination. Ultimately, in Macbeth's case, the pursuit for invulnerability undermines the interpersonal relationships that provide significance to political and personal life. The piece offers a very contemporary take on that: aggression can be a mask for insecurity in the form of authority. Despotism may look imposing but its perpetual enmity is the mark of its fear of exposure and of losing control. So Macbeth is dead, psychologically and politically. He wishes to be invulnerable, but ends up a horribly vulnerable dictator. What Shakespeare achieves dramatically is to unmask this

discrepancy. The one that believes strength is the unwillingness to be vulnerable loses his power, his connections, his moral compass and ultimately his life. Macbeth is an illustration of more than the ramifications of ambition. It calls us to question a deeply embedded cultural assumption that security is achieved via domination, legitimacy through violence, and masculinity through the suppression of vulnerability. And the solution Shakespeare gives in the end is simple and terrible. Systems of politics built on fear cannot provide real security and masculinities based on invulnerability cannot supply real strength.

References

1. Adams, R. (2019) *Masculinities and the Shakespearean Stage*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
2. Amiri, M. and Khoshkam, S. (2017) 'Gender identity and gender performativity in Shakespeare's selected plays: Macbeth, Hamlet and The Merry Wives of Windsor', *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 8(4), pp. 1–7.
3. Belsey, C. (2007) *Shakespeare and the Loss of Eden: The Construction of Family Values in Early Modern Culture*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
4. Bloom, H. (ed.) (2008) *William Shakespeare's Macbeth*. New York: Chelsea House.
5. Bradley, A.C. (1904) *Shakespearean Tragedy: Lectures on Hamlet, Othello, King Lear, Macbeth*. London: Macmillan.
6. Connell, R.W. (1995) *Masculinities*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
7. Connell, R.W. (2005) *Masculinities*. 2nd edn. Berkeley: University of California Press.
8. Connell, R.W. and Messerschmidt, J.W. (2005) 'Hegemonic masculinity: Rethinking the concept', *Gender & Society*, 19(6), pp. 829–859.
9. Cowan, E.J. (1993) 'The historical Macbeth', in Seller, W.D.H. (ed.) *Moray: Province and People*. Edinburgh: Scottish Society for Northern Studies, pp. 117–142.
10. Drouin, J., Bailey, A. and others (eds.) (2022) *Shakespeare/Sex: Contemporary Readings in Gender and Sexuality*. London: Bloomsbury.
11. Eagleton, T. (1986) *William Shakespeare*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
12. Foucault, M. (1978) *The History of Sexuality, Volume 1: An Introduction*. New York: Pantheon.
13. Frazer, E. (2020) *Shakespeare and the Political Way*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
14. Gilligan, J. and Richards, D.A.J. (2021) *Holding a Mirror up to Nature: Shame, Guilt, and Violence in Shakespeare*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
15. Greenblatt, S. (2005) *Will in the World: How Shakespeare Became Shakespeare*. New York: W.W. Norton.
16. Hall, S.G. (2025) "'Our poison'd chalice to our own lips': toxic masculinity and tyranny in Macbeth", *Textual Practice*, 39(5), pp. 824–841. doi:10.1080/0950236X.2024.2318035.
17. Jardine, L. (1996) *Reading Shakespeare Historically*. London: Routledge.
18. Kahn, C. (1981) *Man's Estate: Masculine Identity in Shakespeare*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
19. Kermode, F. (2000) *Shakespeare's Language*. London: Penguin.
20. Levin, R. (2020) 'Feminist thematics and Shakespearean tragedy', *PMLA*. Cambridge University Press.
21. Lipman-Blumen, J. (2006) *The Allure of Toxic Leaders: Why We Follow Destructive Bosses and Corrupt Politicians and How We Can Survive Them*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
22. Majumder, D. (2019) *Tyranny and Usurpation: The New Prince and Lawmaking Violence in Early Modern Drama*. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.
23. McLuskie, K. (1985) 'The patriarchal bard: Feminist criticism and Shakespeare', *Proceedings of the British Academy*, 71, pp. 89–108.

24. Messerschmidt, J.W. (2019) 'The salience of "hegemonic masculinity"', *Men and Masculinities*, 22(1), pp. 85–91.
25. Nuttall, A.D. (2007) *Shakespeare the Thinker*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
26. Orgel, S. (2002) *Imagining Shakespeare: A History of Texts and Visions*. New York: Palgrave.
27. Ryan, K. (2019) *Shakespearean Tragedy*. London: Bloomsbury.
28. Shakespeare, W. (1999) *The Norton Shakespeare*. Edited by S. Greenblatt, W. Cohen, J.E. Howard and K.E. Maus. New York: W.W. Norton.
29. Shakespeare, W. (2008) *Macbeth*. Edited by A.R. Braunmuller. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
30. Smith, B.R. (2000) *Shakespeare and Masculinity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
31. Thomas, K. (1971) *Religion and the Decline of Magic*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
32. Wilson, S. (2018) 'Literary clinical practice: Desire, depression and toxic masculinity in Hamlet', *Journal for Cultural Research*, 22(3), pp. 278–292.
33. Žižek, S. (1991) *Looking Awry: An Introduction to Jacques Lacan through Popular Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
34. Amiri, M., Khoshkam, S. and colleagues (2017) 'Gender identity and gender performativity in Shakespeare's selected plays', *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 8(4), pp. 1–7.
35. Connell, R.W. (2016) *Gender in World Perspective*. 3rd edn. Cambridge: Polity Press.
36. Messerschmidt, J.W. (2007) *Crime as Structured Action: Gender, Race, Class, and Crime in the Making*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
37. Messerschmidt, J.W. (2018) *Hegemonic Masculinity: Formulation, Reformulation, and Amplification*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.
38. Smith, B.R. (1995) *Homosexual Desire in Shakespeare's England: A Cultural Poetics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
39. Taylor, G. (ed.) (2013) *The Oxford Handbook of Shakespeare and Embodiment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
40. Traub, V. (1992) 'Desire and the differences it makes', in Parker, A. and Sedgwick, E.K. (eds.) *Performativity and Gender*. New York: Routledge.
41. Wells, R. (2000) *Shakespeare and Masculinity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Suggested Article Classification

Field: English Literature / Shakespeare Studies / Gender Studies

Primary Theory: Critical Masculinity Studies

Secondary Approaches: Feminist Literary Criticism, Political Theory, Psychoanalytic Criticism

Method: Qualitative textual analysis / close reading

Primary Text: William Shakespeare's *Macbeth*

Core Concepts: Toxic masculinity, hegemonic masculinity, vulnerability, violence, tyranny, political legitimacy, gender performance, masculinity and power

Original Research Argument

The article's principal contribution is the argument that *Macbeth's* tyranny can be understood as a political manifestation of his failed attempt to construct an invulnerable masculine identity. Rather than treating ambition as an isolated psychological defect, the study connects *Macbeth's* ambition to gendered expectations surrounding courage, dominance, emotional suppression, succession, and political authority. *Macduff's* alternative model of masculinity particularly his insistence that he must "feel it as a man" provides the play's most important counter-discourse to *Macbeth's* violent masculinity.